REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the Examiner's comments, which have greatly assisted Applicants in responding.

5

Claims 2-47 are pending in the present application. Claims 2, 21, 23-26, 30-32, 36, and 47 have been amended to provide further clarification. No new matter has been entered. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the Claims as amended.

10

Specification

The specification was objected to because of informalities. The specification has been amended to address the objections. No new matter has been entered. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objections to the specification.

15.

20

25

30

Claim Objections

Claims 2, 23-26, 30-32, 36, and 47 were objected to because of informalities. Claims 2, 23-26, 30-32, 36, and 47 have been amended for further clarification and to address the objections. No new matter has been entered. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objections and allowance of the Claims, as amended.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC § 112

Claims 2, 21 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 21 have been amended to address the Examiner's rejections and for further clarification. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the Claims, as amended.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC § 102

5 Claims 2-47 were rejected under 35 USC § 102 (e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,560,639 to Dan et al. (hereinafter "Dan"). Applicants respectfully submit that Dan fails to teach, suggest, or render obvious the present invention as claimed.

Independent Claim 2, as amended, recites, *inter alia*, "a deploy daemon configured to receive a signal from the development server, the signal indicating the occurrence of a trigger event that may cause data to be deployed, and configured to automatically deploy data to a destination upon occurrence of a such a trigger event <u>in a manner transparent to a user of said one or more work areas."</u>

Independent Claim 21, as amended, recites, *inter alia*, "automatically retrieving inprogress data from said work area upon the occurrence of an event <u>in a manner</u> transparent to a user of said one or more work areas, wherein the event may be generated from one of a plurality of sources associated with a website publishing application."

20

25

10

Independent Claim 47, as amended, recites, *inter alia*, "a data deploy daemon configured to store the retrieved web content to a location in a manner transparent to a user of said work area, the data deploy daemon including a trigger application that includes a list of events that includes events that occur in the operation of the website publishing application, and further includes an action list, where the occurrence of particular events invokes particular actions to be taken in response."

The Office Action states that Dan teaches each and every element of Claims 2-47.

Applicants respectfully disagree for the following reasons.

30

10

15

Dan discloses a web management system for integrating site architecture, navigation. design, and management on the Internet from a web server side. Dan fails to teach or suggest "a deploy daemon configured to receive a signal from the development server, the signal indicating the occurrence of a trigger event that may cause data to be deployed, and configured to automatically deploy data to a destination upon occurrence of a such a trigger event in a manner transparent to a user of said one or more work areas," as claimed in independent Claim 2, as amended. Dan further fails to teach or suggest "automatically retrieving in-progress data from said work area upon the occurrence of an event in a manner transparent to a user of said one or more work areas, wherein the event may be generated from one of a plurality of sources associated with a website publishing application," as claimed in independent Claim 21, as amended. Finally, Dan fails to teach or suggest "a data deploy daemon configured" to store the retrieved web content to a location in a manner transparent to a user of said work area, the data deploy daemon including a trigger application that includes a list of events that includes events that occur in the operation of the website publishing application, and further includes an action list, where the occurrence of particular events invokes particular actions to be taken in response," as claimed in independent Claim 47, as amended.

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 2, 21, and 47 are distinguishable over Dan and should be allowed. Claims 3-20, 22-46, dependent directly or indirectly from independent Claims 2 and 21, respectively, are also distinguishable over Dan and should also be allowed at least for the same reasons as stated above. Thus, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the Claims.

Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, Applicants consider the claimed invention to be distinguished from the art of record. Accordingly, Applicants earnestly solicit the Examiner's withdrawal of the rejections raised in the above referenced Office Action, such that a Notice of Allowance is forwarded to Applicants, and the present application is therefore allowed to issue as a United States Patent.

10

5

Respectfully Submitted,

15

Reg. No. 46,244

Customer No. 22862